1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA			
7				
8	SHERRI L. DEEM, individually and as Personal Representative of the estate of	CASE NO. C17-5965 BHS		
9	THOMAS A. DEEM, deceased,	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR		
	Plaintiff,	SUMMARY JUDGMENT,		
10	v.	DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION		
11	AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS	FOR CONSOLIDATED TRIAL, DENYING OTHER MOTIONS AS		
10	CORPORATION, et al.,	MOOT, AND SETTING		
12	Defendants.	DEADLINE FOR RESPONSES		
13				
14	This matter comes before the Court on Defendant John Crane, Inc.'s ("Crane")			
15	motions for summary judgment, Dkts. 72, 263, and motion to dismiss, Dkt. 372, Plaintiff			
16	Sherri Deem's, individually and as Personal Representative of the Estate of Thomas			
17	Deem ("Deem") motion for partial summary judgment on Crane's affirmative defenses,			
18	Dkt. 245, motion for extension of time to respond to dispositive motions, Dkt. 274,			
19	renewed motion for consolidated trial, Dkt. 340, and motion for leave to file			
20	supplemental briefing re maritime law, Dkt. 377. The Court has considered the pleadings			
21	filed in support of and in opposition to the motions and the remainder of the file and			

22 hereby rules as follows:

1		
1	L	

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On November 20, 2017, Deem filed a complaint against Defendants Air & Liquid
Systems Corporation, CBS Corporation ("CBS"), Crane Co., Foster-Wheeler Energy
Corporation ("Foster-Wheeler"), General Electric Company ("General Electric"), IMO
Industries, Inc., and Warren Pumps, LLC. Dkt. 1 ("*Deem 1*").

On June 28, 2018, Deem filed a second complaint against Defendants 6 Anchor/Darling Valve Company, BW/IP, Inc., Blackmer Pump Company, Clark-7 Reliance Corporation, Cleaver-Brooks, Inc., Crosby Valve, LLC ("Crosby"), Flowserve 8 Corporation, Flowserve US, Inc., FMC Corporation ("FMC"), Gardner Denver, Inc., 9 Goulds Pumps, Inc., Grinnell, LLC, Hopeman Brothers, Inc., ITT, LLC, Ingersoll-Rand 10 Company, Jerguson Gage & Valve, John Crane, Inc. ("Crane"), McNally Industries, LLC 11 ("McNalley"), Velan Valve Corp., Viad Corp., Viking Pump, Inc., Weir Valves & 12 Controls USA, Inc., and The WM Powell Company. C18-5527-BHS, Dkt. 1 ("Deem 2"). 13 On December 13, 2018, the Court granted in part and denied in part Deem's 14 motion to consolidate the cases. Dkt. 52. The Court consolidated the cases through 15 "disposition of summary judgment or such other time prior to trial as the Court deems 16 appropriate" and denied the motion as to the request to consolidate them for trial. Id. at 17 2. 18

On March 7, 2019, Crane filed a motion for summary judgment arguing that under
Washington law Deem failed to meet her burden to establish that Thomas Deem was
exposed to any Crane product. Dkt. 72. On March 25, 2019, Deem responded. Dkt. 87.
On March 29, 2019, Crane replied. Dkt. 94.

On February 27, 2019, FMC and McNalley filed a motion for summary judgment
 arguing that Deem's claim for wrongful death under Washington law was barred by the
 statute of limitations. Dkt. 69. On March 26, 2019, Crane joined in the motion. Dkt. 91.
 On April 25, 2019, the Court granted the motion and dismissed Deem's Washington law
 claim against multiple defendants, including Crane. Dkt. 105.

On July 11, 2019, Deem filed a motion for partial summary judgment on some of
Crane's affirmative defenses, Dkt. 245, and Crane filed a second motion for summary
judgment arguing in part that Deem's maritime claims were barred by the statute of
limitations, Dkt. 263 at 10–11. On July 24, 2019, Deem filed a motion for extension of
time to respond to Crane's motion. Dkt. 274. On July 29, 2019, the parties responded to
the dispositive motions. Dkts. 279, 303. On August 2, 2019, Crane replied. Dkt. 318.

On August 6, 2019, the Court granted Deem's motion to apply maritime law and
granted Deem leave to file an amended complaint to clarify her claims. Dkt. 331. On
August 16, 2019, Deem filed amended complaints in both cases. *Deem 1*, Dkt. 341; *Deem 2*, Dkt. 92. On August 19, 2019, the Court struck the amended complaint in *Deem I* because only *Deem 2* defendants moved to dismiss her complaint. Dkt. 341.

On August 15, 2019, Deem filed the instant renewed motion for consolidated trial.
Dkt. 340. On August 20, 2019, CBS, Foster-Wheeler, and General Electric responded.
Dkt. 343.

On August 16, 2019, Deem filed an amended complaint asserting claims for wrongful death under maritime law. *Deem 2*, Dkt. 92. On August 29, 2019, Crosby filed a motion to dismiss Deem's amended complaint
 arguing in part that Deem's maritime claims are barred by the statute of limitations. Dkt.
 371. On December 6, 2019, the Court granted Crosby's motion concluding that the
 claims are untimely. Dkt. 415.

II. DISCUSSION

A. Summary Judgment

For the reasons set forth in the Court's previous order, Dkt. 415, the Court grants Crane's motion for summary judgment on the issue of the statute of limitations. In short, Deem had three years from the date she was aware of the injury to her husband, Thomas Deem, to file suit. She failed to do so. Therefore, her claims against Crane are timebarred.

B. Motion to Consolidate

If actions before the court involve a common question of law or fact, the court may consolidate the matters for trial. Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a).

Although the Court agrees with Deem that the actions involve numerous common questions of law and fact, a dispositive issue present in *Deem 2* is not present in *Deem 1*. As stated in the Court's recent order, Deem's claims under maritime law in *Deem 2* are barred by the statute of limitations. The presence of this issue is sufficient to warrant denial of Deem's motion to consolidate timely claims with untimely claims. In fact, it appears that this is the appropriate time to vacate the consolidation order, strike the trial date in *Deem 2*, and set a dispositive motion deadline to address the statute of limitations issue with the remaining defendants in *Deem 2*. The Court, however, declines to do so

sua sponte without providing the parties notice and an opportunity to be heard. Thus, the
 Court will set a deadline for any response to the Court's plan.

III. ORDER

Therefore, it is hereby **ORDERED** Crane's motion for summary judgment, Dkt.

5 263, is **GRANTED**, Deem's renewed motion for consolidated trial, Dkt. 340, is

DENIED, and Crane's motion for summary judgment, Dkt. 72, motion to dismiss, Dkt.

 $7 \parallel 372$, and Deem's motion for partial summary judgment on Crane's affirmative defenses,

Dkt. 245, motion for extension of time to respond to dispositive motions, Dkt. 274, and

motion for leave to file supplemental briefing re maritime law, Dkt. 377, are **DENIED** as

moot. The Clerk shall terminate Crane as a defendant.

The Court intends to vacate the previous order consolidating the matters, Dkt. 52, strike any trial date in *Deem 2*, and set a dispositive motion deadline in *Deem 2* to address the issue of statute of limitations. Any party may respond to this plan no later than January 8, 2020.

Dated this 2nd day of January, 2020.

BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge

6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

3

4